Introduction

Since the 1940s, scientists have isolated compounds and tested their ability to induce cancer. **Substances which can cause cancer are known as carcinogen and the process of cancer development is called carcinogenesis.** It was suspected early-on that carcinogens caused cancer indirectly, by causing DNA mutations. One early observation supporting this was that X-rays, which were shown to damage DNA, can cause cancer. Since then, other types of radiation, many chemicals and some bacteria and viruses have been shown to cause cancer.

Cancer cells do not look or act like the normal cells from which they originate. It is reasonable, then, to ask 'Why do cancer cells behave so badly?'. It turns out the the answers lie in the genes of the affected cells. In cancer cells, changes to key genes cause the cells to act abnormally. The changes are often the result of changes to the DNA (mutations) in the cells. Because there are many different things that are capable of causing mutation, there are an equally large number of causes of cancer. Further information on the topics on this page can also be found in most introductory Biology textbooks, we recommend Campbell Biology, 11th edition.
Environmental Agents and Cancer Development

Environmental Exposure
Population (epidemiological) and laboratory studies have led to the discovery of many potential environmental factors in the initiation, promotion and progression of cancer. Starting with Pott’s observations in the 18th century, certain occupations have been associated with an increased risk of cancer development. The recognition of increased scrotal cancer in chimney sweeps due to coal and tar exposure was followed by an observation in a British factory that all men distilling 2-napthylamine developed bladder cancer. Nickel refining, leather working and woodworking have also been associated with an increased risk of specific cancers due to chronic exposure to carcinogenic chemicals. Exposure to mustard gas, used as a chemical warfare agent in World War I has been associated with a higher risk of respiratory tract and lung cancers due to its mutagenic properties. Of interest, some chemotherapy drugs are derivatives of mustard gas and are useful for the very same reason; they are highly mutagenic.

Geographical Influences
There have also been associations made between different geographical regions and particular cancers. Stomach cancer is 5-6 times higher among Japanese men, attributed to the consumption of fermented foods; breast cancer is 20 times higher among American women, attributed to the high fat American diet; and liver cancer is 10 times higher in Africa, which correlates with high rates of Hepatitis B infection. Liver cancer may also be caused by aflatoxin, a food contaminant produced by fungi. This compound is prevalent in grain stores in tropical and subtropical regions because moist grain is a very good place for the fungi to live.

Lifestyle
The impact of many environmental factors can be reduced by making healthy lifestyle choices. One of the most potent carcinogens in humans is benzo[a]-pyrene, a compound found in cigarette smoke. In fact, the tar in cigarette smoke includes both initiators and promoters, making it especially dangerous. Alcohol is a promoter of carcinogenesis in humans, as is asbestos. Additionally, UV radiation, from exposure to the sun or tanning beds, is a powerful initiator in humans and is a cause of skin cancer.

See our detailed section on tobacco.

Drugs
Tamoxifen, a chemotherapeutic agent used to combat estrogen receptor positive (ER+) breast cancer, increases the risk of endometrial cancer by increasing the rate of endometrial cell proliferation. For this reason, long-term tamoxifen treatment is losing popularity in favor of aromatase inhibitors. Estrogens themselves may also be important in the promotion of tumors, particularly in post-menopausal women receiving exogenous estrogens, due to their ability to increase mammary and endometrial cell division rates. This is an area of active research.

There are factors that can predispose an unborn fetus to developing cancer later in life. These include exposure to radiation or the synthetic estrogen diethylstilbestrol (DES).

A Closer Look at Aflatoxin: Physical Impact and Associated Cancer

Exposure: Aflatoxin is produced by Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus fungi. The fungi synthesize aflatoxin when they are living in warm, moist conditions. These fungi are prevalent among crops such as rice, corn, cassava, nuts, peanuts, chilies, and spices. Countries with the highest amounts of these organisms lie within 40 degrees latitude north or south of the equator. Storage of food under warm, moist conditions increases the risk of aflatoxin contamination. Approximately 4.5 billion persons living in developing countries are chronically exposed to uncontrolled amounts of aflatoxin.

Associated Cancer: Aflatoxicosis is the disease that results from ingestion of aflatoxin. This disease can present in one of two forms. The first is an acute illness that results from exposure to large amounts of the toxin over a short period of time. Adult humans have a high tolerance for aflatoxin. Ingestion of large amounts of aflatoxin usually causes liver damage and acute illness but is rarely fatal. However, exposure to high levels of the toxin can cause death in children. The second form of aflatoxicosis is due to low level chronic exposure to aflatoxin. Chronic aflatoxin exposure has an additive effect and can lead to the development of liver cancer. Aflatoxin increases the risk of liver cancer (usually in the form of hepatocellular carcinoma or HCC) in all persons who ingest contaminated food. It can also increase the risk of lung cancer in workers who handle the grain. Infection with either the Hepatitis B or C viruses combined with exposure to aflatoxin can increase a person’s risk of developing
liver cancer by as much as 30 fold over a person who is exposed to aflatoxin but is not infected with hepatitis virus. Infection with the Hepatitis B virus decreases a person’s ability to detoxify aflatoxin via the liver. This can partially account for the greatly increased risk of cancer development in individuals exposed to both aflatoxin and hepatitis virus.

In its initial stages, HCC causes no noticeable symptoms. It can grow for up to 3 years before causing physical symptoms. For this reason, most HCC patients present with advanced stages of the disease, making treatment difficult. Non surgical treatments are only minimally effective. HCC patients have shown to have, at best, a 25% response rate to chemotherapy, as most HCC tumors are resistant to chemotherapy. Liver transplantation is the only current cure for HCC. Unfortunately, based on the number, size, location, and severity of the underlying disease, not all patients are candidates for a transplant.

**Infections That Cause Cancer**

Although most cancer seems to arise from environmental exposures to chemicals and radiation or lifestyle choices, a large number of cases are caused by infections. As more is learned about different types of cancer, additional links with infectious agents are likely to be found.

**Parasites**

One of the first connections between infections and cancer was provided by Dr. Johannes Fibiger. Dr. Fibiger noticed an association between cancer in his laboratory rats and infection with a parasitic worm (nematode). He named the worm *Spiroptera carcinoma*. While it was later shown that the worms were not the primary cause of the cancer, the link between infection and cancer has withstood the test of time.

Several different kinds of worm-like parasites (trematodes) are known to cause cancer. The parasites are usually very small and have complicated life cycles, living in several different hosts at different stages in their lives. The life cycle of *Clonorchis sinensis* is shown above.

The trematodes known to cause cancer include:
- *Schistosoma haematobium* (above left) causes bladder cancer. The image is a highly magnified view (electron micrograph) of a schistosome.
- *Clonorchis sinensis* (above center) and *Opisthorchis viverrini* (above right): these two 'flukes' infect the bile duct and are associated with cancer of the bile duct (cholangiocarcinoma) and liver (hepatocarcinoma).

**Bacteria**

*Helicobacter pylori* (pictured below) is a bacteria that is able to survive in the mucosa of the gastric (stomach) epithelium for a long time and is a main cause of stomach and duodenal diseases. Epidemiological studies have provided evidence that *H. pylori* is associated with the development of lymphoma in mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) and gastric adenocarcinoma (stomach cancer). Similarly, *H. pylori* is also associated with duodenal ulcer disease. Recent research suggests that the populations of bacteria that live in a person's intestines/colon can increase or decrease their risk of developing colon cancer.

**Viruses**

Some viruses have also been associated with the initiation and promotion of tumor growth based on both epidemiological and experimental evidence. Some DNA viruses contain genes whose products can take control of cell division in the host cell. They promote the development of tumors by increasing proliferation rates and shutting down the normal systems that prevent cells from dividing. These viruses include human papillomavirus (HPV), the most significant risk factor for the development of cervical cancer. HPV also causes cancers of the head/neck, anal cancer, and urogenital cancers. Similarly, an RNA virus, human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) leads to adult T-cell leukemia by stimulating the proliferation of infected T-cells. Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is another virus that increases cell proliferation. This virus also protects infected cells from death (apoptosis). EBV infects more than 90% of the world’s adult population and has been implicated as a cause of Burkitt’s lymphoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma and gastric lymphoma. The image below is of leukemia cells infected with EBV. Some viruses also have indirect effects on tumor development. Hepatitis B causes damage that leads to increased cell division and inflammation in the liver, potentially promoting the growth of tumors. The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) greatly reduces the function of the immune system and is the cause of AIDS. HIV infection may also make patients susceptible to infection by a type of human herpes virus, HHV 8, that is a risk factor for Kaposi’s sarcoma.

**Obesity and Cancer**

Learn more about viruses that cause cancer.
Approximately 35% of men, 40% of women, and 17% of youth (ages 2-19) in the United States are obese (based on BMI), according to articles published by the Journal of the American Medical Association in 2016\cite{28}\cite{29}. Since 2005, there has been a slight increase in obesity in women, and the rates in men and children have remained about the same. Even in the face of large amounts of research, new drugs, and community programs to fight weight gain\cite{30}, America collectively struggles to slim down.

The evidence for the obesity-cancer connection is growing as thick as America’s waistline; more and more research shows that being obese puts people at a greater risk of developing cancer. Solid cancers associated with obesity include: colon, breast (post-menopausal), uterus, pancreas, gallbladder, liver, esophagus, kidney\cite{31}, thyroid, and ovaries. Risk is also increased for blood cell cancers, including myeloma, leukemia, and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma\cite{32}. But what does excess fat (adipose tissue) have to do with the abnormal cell behaviors seen in cancer?

### Fat as a Secretory Organ

It may help to think of fat deposits as another hormone-producing gland in the endocrine system\cite{33} (which includes the pineal gland, pancreas, ovaries, testes, hypothalamus, and adrenal glands). Fat cells actively release molecules called adipokines (“adipo-” meaning “fat” or “fatty”; “-kine” from the Greek “kinein” meaning “to move”). Some of these adipokines can have negative effects on the rest of your body in terms of cancer development and progression\cite{34}\cite{35}\cite{36}\cite{37}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adipokines</th>
<th>Significance for Cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leptin</td>
<td>Leptin’s normal job is to tell the brain when a person is full. In obese bodies, however, there is so much leptin that the brain essentially disregards the signal (“leptin resistance”). This leptin has been shown to activate pro-cell division pathways, which would lead to excessive cell proliferation\cite{38}\cite{39}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin</td>
<td>Adiponectin works to counteract the effects of leptin. It can bind to growth factors to prevent them from signaling cells to divide\cite{40}. It also activates a tumor suppressor (LKB1), which inhibits metastasis\cite{41}. In addition, it can help to increase insulin sensitivity and suppress expression of TNF- and IL-6. Unfortunately, levels of adiponectin are relatively low in obese individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-6 (IL-6)</td>
<td>IL-6 is an inflammatory cytokine that is found at higher levels in obese people and during cancer development. IL-6 has been shown to activate the STAT3 pathway, which has roles in tumor cell growth and survival\cite{42}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1)</td>
<td>MCP-1 is a chemokine, or a molecule that signals other cells to move. Adipocytes release MCP-1 to recruit immune cells, called macrophages, into the fat tissue. Expression of MCP-1, and the resulting macrophage infiltration and inflammation, is associated with angiogenesis and tumor progression\cite{43}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- )</td>
<td>TNF- is an inflammatory cytokine that contributes to insulin resistance by down-regulating insulin receptors and glucose transporters\cite{44}; it can also activate the transcription factor NF- B\cite{45} (which leads to the expression of tumor-survival genes), and it promotes tumor cell migration and invasion\cite{46}. TNF- is also suggested to induce adipocyte degeneration and free DNA release, which is implicated in inflammation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Obesity is also associated with increased aromatase activity. Aromatase is an enzyme that produces estrogen from its building blocks (androgens). Signals from leptin, TNF-α, and IL-6 increase aromatase activity. This leads to the production of too much estrogen. Estrogen can bind to cancer cells that have the estrogen receptor, and cause them to divide. Aromatase expression is also induced by prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), another inflammatory molecule elevated in obesity. PGE2 is secreted by tumor cells, macrophages, and fat cells (adipocytes). When PGE2 binds to cells, it activates pathways that promote tumor growth, such as those for cell division, invasion, angiogenesis, and immunosuppression. PGE2 production is controlled by the enzyme cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), which is shown to be found at higher amounts in patients with obesity-associated breast inflammation.

**Adipose’s Secretory Activity**

**Insulin Resistance and Cancer**

When health care workers speak about the complications of obesity, they’re often referring to the complex web of conditions associated with it, including insulin resistance, high blood pressure (hypertension), increased blood sugar levels (hyperglycemia), reduced HDL cholesterol (the “good” cholesterol), elevated free fatty acids in the blood, and inflammation.

Insulin resistance in particular seems to be important in elevating cancer risk. When we eat, the digestive system (mouth, stomach and intestines) breaks down the meal to free up sugars in the food. The energy-packed sugars are then absorbed into the bloodstream, where they can deliver energy to the body. In response to rising blood-sugar levels, the pancreas produces insulin, which is a protein that attaches to cells and causes them to take in sugar from the bloodstream. In an individual with insulin resistance, which often occurs with obesity, the cells ‘stop listening’ to that insulin signal. Then, the cells aren’t able to take in the needed sugar, and blood sugar levels remain elevated. The pancreas tries to compensate by churning out too much insulin.

The actions of insulin and related proteins, including its receptors and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), have been associated with increased tumor growth, particularly for colon, breast, and prostate cancer. The receptors for insulin can be upregulated in certain cancer cells. When insulin binds to receptors on cancer cells, it can activate cell proliferation pathways and alter the metabolism of the cells by enhancing glucose transport – the end result is that more energy is made available to the cancer cells.

Insulin also increases the activity of IGF-1. IGF-1 is a protein produced by the liver in response to growth.
Obesity, the Microbiome, and Cancer Risk

The microbiome is the collective genes found in the hundreds of species of bacteria living inside a person. Microbiome changes have been identified as another link between obesity and cancer. The bacteria living inside the gut are usually helpful; they break down and ferment foods and hard-to-digest fibers, and they produce important nutrients such as biotin, vitamin K, and vitamin B12. Lean and obese individuals have different mixtures of bacteria in their guts. Slimmer, healthier individuals tend to have lots of different kinds of bacteria, while obese individuals have less diversity. Low bacterial diversity has also been associated with insulin resistance, abnormal blood lipid content (dyslipidemia), and inflammation. The bacterial community seems to specialize itself depending on the diet being consumed. Differences in relative numbers of different kinds of microbes have been found between people with high-fat “Western” diets, plant-rich diets, or animal protein-rich diets.

Why does this matter? Each type of bacteria produces distinctive products (metabolites), and these can be either good or bad for one’s health. Obesity and a high-fat diet are associated with gut permeability and increases in the blood levels of bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS). LPS is found in the membranes of some bacteria, including E. coli. It is a type of toxin, and when LPS binds to its receptors (specifically, Toll-like receptor 4; TLR4), it can trigger an immune response by causing the release of pro-inflammatory factors such as TNF-a, interleukin 1 (IL-1), and interleukin 6 (IL-6). This chronic inflammation can fuel tumorigenesis. LPS in the blood have also been linked to weight gain, hyperglycemia, and insulin resistance.

A recent study in mice (still to be validated in humans) suggests another mechanism by which the microbiome can lead to obesity and insulin resistance. Mice fed a high-fat diet had increased blood levels of acetate, which was later shown to be produced by their intestinal bacteria. This acetate would stimulate the parasympathetic nervous system, which would signal to beta-cells in the pancreas via the vagus nerve to secrete more insulin. They found that acetate also led to increased production of ghrelin, which is a “hunger hormone” that encourages a big appetite. The combination of a hearty appetite (because of too much ghrelin) and increased energy storage (because of too much insulin) is a recipe for obesity and insulin resistance.

To make matters worse, the types of bacteria seen in obese individuals has also been shown to be better at extracting energy or calories from food, and this can lead to further weight gain, metabolic dysregulation, and altered adipokine function.

Obesity and Chemotherapy

Not only does obesity increase the likelihood of developing cancer, but it can also decrease the effectiveness of some cancer treatments, including chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and anti-angiogenic therapy.

Bevacizumab, an anti-angiogenesis drug that prevents tumors from growing a blood supply, is used in combination with conventional chemotherapy to extend colorectal patient survival. A study published in the journal Gut suggests that visceral fat (the fat stored around the internal organs in the abdominal cavity) could be used to predict response to this bevacizumab-based therapy; more visceral fat means more tumor progression. The researchers think that the extra VEGF (the molecule that bevacizumab inhibits) secreted by visceral fat decreases the effectiveness of the bevacizumab.

Another retrospective study found that obese women with breast cancer developed earlier metastases and had less successful response to first-line chemo treatment with paclitaxel than non-obese women. Other researchers found that Chinese breast cancer patients with a higher BMI were less likely to achieve pathological complete response (defined as the absence invasive carcinoma in breast tissue and lymph nodes of in biopsy).
Researchers found that in mouse models of pancreatic ductal carcinoma, obesity impaired the delivery and efficacy of chemotherapy drugs by decreasing vascular perfusion. Vascular perfusion refers to the extent of blood vessels within a tissue and the resulting delivery of nutrients (or drugs) through the bloodstream. They found that more adipocytes meant more pro-inflammatory cytokines and infiltration by tumor-associated neutrophils. This obesity-associated inflammation was coupled with desmoplasia, the growth of dense, fibrous tissue. Desmoplasia makes it easier for cancer cells to spread and more difficult for blood vessels to grow properly; thus, obesity-induced desmoplasia makes it more difficult for cancer drugs to affect a tumor.

Still another study showed that the high levels of insulin often found in obese patients could activate a pathway (the PI3K/Akt pathway) that makes colon cancer cells more resistant to the chemotherapy drug, oxaliplatin. In fact, of current research interest is the benefit of metformin for cancer patients. Metformin is an anti-diabetic drug that improves insulin sensitivity, but it has also been shown to improve treatment outcomes and survival in diabetic cancer patients. In addition, metformin has been shown to reduce metastasis in animal models of pancreatic cancer. Metformin may help by inhibiting the production of inflammatory molecules and by reducing desmoplasia.

The large-scale clinical trials needed to determine drug dosages for obese patients have not been conducted, and there is little information available to help clinicians understand the drug dynamics of anticancer agents in obese patients. A 2012 report from the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) noted that up to 40% of obese cancer patients receive inadequate doses of chemotherapy. This could lead to an increased likelihood of remission and mortality. Drug doses are generally determined based on body surface area, which is calculated using a patient’s height and weight. This calculation can lead to some extraordinarily high-sounding doses of chemo for larger patients. Some physicians may base a patient’s dosage on their ideal body weight or an adjusted body weight, they may cap off the dose at a certain limit, or they may lower the dose due to fear of toxic side effects. These fears, however, are unfounded; there is no evidence for additional toxic effects from the high dosages calculated by using a patient’s actual body weight. ASCO recommends that physicians should view obese and normal-weight patients equally in terms of chemotherapy dosages with exceptions for the maximums set on the use of carboplatin, bleomycin, and vincristine.

Read a feature story about the connection between obesity and cancer.

**Chronic Inflammation and Cancer Development**

Chronic inflammation has been seen, both experimentally and epidemiologically, to be an important factor in tumor development. Chronic inflammation can be caused by viral or bacterial infections, autoimmune diseases and inflammatory conditions of unknown origins. It has been shown that mutation of key inflammatory control genes is associated with a higher risk of cancer progression, and markers of inflammation correlate with a worse prognosis for cancer patients. Inflammation seems to lead to the development of cancer because of the activities of leukocytes, including the production of proteins that alter the behavior of target cells (cytokines and chemokines), stimulation of blood vessel growth (angiogenesis) and tissue remodeling. Immune cells also produce oxygen radicals that can cause mutations in DNA.

Inflammation can both induce carcinogenesis and lead to progression and metastasis. The activation of a specific transcription factor, NF-kB, by pro-inflammatory cytokines has been shown to produce a more aggressive cancer phenotype including resistance to normal growth control mechanisms, angiogenetic capability and metastasis. Tumor associated macrophages (TAM), are also associated with the inflammatory pathway, have been observed to produce pro-angiogenic factors and recruit blood vessels early in tumor development. TAM also increase the growth rate of tumor cells and cause the dissolution of the connective tissue matrix surrounding the tumor, enabling tumor growth and spread.

There are several cancer types associated with chronic inflammatory conditions, including: colon cancer and inflammatory bowel disease, liver cancer and hepatitis C, bladder or colon cancer and schistosomiasis (a chronic parasitic infection) and stomach cancer and *H. pylori* infection.

**Detecting Carcinogens: The Ames Test**

Bacteria have proven to be good models for determining the mutagenic potential of compounds. Bruce Ames, a biochemist, developed an assay to identify potential mutagens. The Ames test works ‘backwards’ from what one might expect. The test starts with mutant bacteria and looks for chemicals that can change them back into normal (wild type) bacteria.

In the Ames test, a potential mutagen is placed on a paper disc in the center of a petri dish on which only
bacterial cells that mutate are able to grow. The mutagenic potential of the compound in question is determined by the amount of bacterial growth seen. Information obtained in this way was shown to be comparable to results from tests in rodents.

Researchers have also manipulated mouse cells to make them potential targets for carcinogens and have transferred genes from cancerous cells into healthy mice, all of which have led to the conclusion that mutations in key genes can lead to the changes that result in cancer.

**List of Carcinogens and the Cancers They Cause**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Cancer Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzo [a]-pyrene (Tobacco)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Mouth, Pharynx, Larynx, Esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Fat</td>
<td>Breast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
<td>Respiratory-tract, Pleural and Peritoneal Mesothelioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented Foods</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogens</td>
<td>Endometrial, Ovarian, Breast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV Light</td>
<td>Skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Radiation and Gamma Radiation</td>
<td>Leukemia, Thyroid, Breast, Lung, Mouth, Stomach, Colon, Bladder, Ovarian, Skin, Central Nervous System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamoxifen</td>
<td>Endometrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Utero Diethylstilbestrol</td>
<td>Childhood Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transabdominal Radiation</td>
<td>Childhood Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxin</td>
<td>Liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soot, Coal (Chimney Sweeping)</td>
<td>Scrotal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel (Nickel Refining)</td>
<td>Lung, Nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood Dust (Woodworking)</td>
<td>Nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr(VI) (Leatherworking)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustard Gas</td>
<td>Respiratory-tract, Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-napthylamine</td>
<td>Bladder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tobacco

Tobacco is an herbaceous plant belonging to the Solanaceae family. The primary source of commercial tobacco is cultivated and harvested *Nicotiana tabacum* [70]. Tobacco leaves contain nicotine, the chemical responsible for tobacco’s addictive effects. To get these effects, the leaves can be chewed, smoked, or sniffed. Nicotine’s effects on the body include decreased appetite, elevated mood and feeling of well-being, increased heart rate and blood pressure, and stimulated memory and alertness. These effects don’t last long and may be replaced by symptoms of nicotine withdrawal within 2-3 hours after the last tobacco use. Symptoms of nicotine withdrawal include intense nicotine cravings, anxiety, depression, restlessness, headaches, increased appetite, and concentration problems [70]. The intensity of nicotine’s effects as well as nicotine withdrawal symptoms depends on the level of nicotine present in the body. In 1993 in the U.S, Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation specially bred a type of tobacco known as Y-1 by using genetically altered tobacco seeds. The Y-1 breed of tobacco was reported to have had the highest known nicotine yield in tobacco at that time, at 6.2% compared to the 2.5-3% found in typical flue-cured tobacco. Y-1 tobacco was used in five brands of American cigarettes, but its usage was discontinued when the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) became concerned with nicotine-level manipulation [79].

From left to right: *Nicotiana tabacum*, Chemical structure of nicotine, Tobacco field

**Tobacco Timeline**

- 1st century BC – Earliest archaeological evidence indicates smoking in Mayan civilization [80]
- 1612 – Chinese ban growing and smoking tobacco [80]
- 1723 – Berlin bans smoking [80]
- 1761 – Englishman John Hill claims that using too much snuff could cause nose cancer [80]
- 1890 – U.S. tobacco taxes amount to approximately $13 million this year [80]
- 1892 – Isaac Adler raises concern that cigarettes might cause lung cancer [80]
- 1921 – Smoking is illegal in 14 American states though bans are removed within the decade [80]
- 1930s – Tobacco provides approximately 8% of Germany’s entire national tax income [80]
- 1964 – Surgeon General’s report on cigarettes and tobacco (42) 1970s – U.S. tobacco taxes amounts to
approximately $5 billion[^80]

- 1971 – Radio and television advertising for cigarettes is banned[^81]
- 1998 – Attorney generals of 46 U.S. states and major tobacco corporations agree upon the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement[^82]
- 2001 – Tobacco provides approximately 10% of China’s entire national tax income[^80]
- 2003 – Hon Lik of Beijing, China, invents the electronic cigarette (e-cigarette)[^83]
- 2006 – E-cigarettes are introduced to Europe and the U.S.[^83]
- 2014 – CVS, a major U.S. pharmacy chain, announces its intention to stop selling tobacco products by October 1, 2014[^84]

Common Usages

Tobacco leaves can be smoked or used in a smokeless form.

Smoking Tobacco

Overview

Smoking tobacco refers to tobacco that is heated and smoked. Some common examples of smoking tobacco include cigarettes, cigars, and hookahs. It is important to note that while e-cigarettes do not contain tobacco and are not burned during use, their rise in popularity as “smoking” devices has led the FDA to propose that e-cigarettes be regulated as tobacco products[^85][^86]. A section on e-cigarettes is included below.

![Sir Walter Raleigh brand smoking tobacco](image)

Cigarettes

Each cigarette typically contains less than 1 gram of tobacco. Cigarettes are made of hundreds of chemicals that react in the presence of heat to produce thousands of chemicals. Many of the chemicals that have been identified as cancer-causing agents (carcinogens) can be found in the sticky, partially burned residue known as “tar”. Some of the remaining thousands of chemicals have been identified as toxic substances that may damage a person’s health[^78]. Some examples of the chemicals found in tobacco smoke are arsenic (also found in rat poison), butane (also found in lighter fluid), cadmium (also found in battery acid), carbon monoxide (also found in car exhaust fumes), nicotine (also used as insecticide), and toluene (also found in paints)[^87]. Smoking tobacco produces several powerful cancer-causing chemicals, including nitrosamines and benzo(a)pyrene.

![Lit cigarette](image)
Cigars
Each cigar can contain between 1-20 grams of tobacco, depending on the size of the cigar. Cigar smoke contains higher levels of toxins and carcinogens than cigarette smoke due to the type of tobacco and wrapping used. The tobaccos used in cigars are fermented, producing higher levels of carcinogenic nitrosamines, which are released into the air when a cigar is smoked. Furthermore, cigar wrappers are less porous than cigarette wrappers, so there is less complete burning of cigar tobacco than of cigarette tobacco, resulting in higher levels of toxins in cigar smoke. Although most cigar smokers do not deeply inhale cigar smoke while smoking, they are still exposed to the carcinogenic substances.

From left to right: Nicaraguan cigar, Cigar makers, Ybor City cigar factory workers

Hookahs
Hookahs are water pipes used to smoke flavored tobacco. Hookah smoking has similar health risks as cigarette smoking, and hookah smoke is comparable in toxicity to cigarette smoke. Depending on the length of a hookah smoking session, hookah smoking may be more detrimental to smokers’ health because hookah smokers may end up inhaling more toxic chemicals than if they were to quickly smoke a cigarette. On average, approximately 90,000mL (about the volume of 24 one-gallon milk jugs) of smoke is inhaled during a 1-hour hookah smoking session, while 500-600mL (about the volume of a 1-pint milk carton) of smoke is inhaled when smoking a cigarette. In a 2018 study of users aged 18-34, hookah use for just 30 minutes was shown to result in stiffening of blood vessels, increased heart rate, and increased blood pressure. Increased arterial stiffness is linked to heart disease. In addition, if the hookah mouthpiece is shared among several people, there is also the possibility of transmitting infectious diseases, such as herpes, hepatitis, tuberculosis, and others.

From left to right: Hookah, Parts of a hookah

E-cigarettes
E-cigarettes are battery-powered devices that are typically designed to look like common objects, such as cigarettes, cigars, pens, pipes, and USB drives. These devices do not contain tobacco. Instead, they deliver nicotine in aerosol form for users to inhale. The FDA has found levels of carcinogenic nitrosamines and other toxic substances in certain e-cigarette liquids. More research is needed to determine exactly what e-cigarettes contain, how they are used, and their effects on users. According to a study funded by the National Institutes of Health, researchers observed that rising high school students who had previously used e-cigarettes, but not combustible tobacco products, were more likely to start using combustible tobacco products over the following 12 months, compared to rising high school students who had never used e-cigarettes or combustible tobacco products. As of May 2014, the FDA has not yet approved e-cigarettes as devices that help people quit smoking. The FDA has, however, proposed that e-cigarettes be categorized as tobacco products. If the proposed rule passes, e-cigarettes will be regulated under FDA authority. Such regulations would involve minimum age restrictions, inclusion of health warning labels, and more.

In June of 2017, a team of researchers from the University of Connecticut found that e-cigarettes may be just as dangerous, in some ways, as unfiltered cigarettes. Even e-cigarettes without any nicotine caused amounts of DNA damage that were comparable to filtered cigarettes. DNA damage leads to mutations, permanent changes that can trigger the development of cancer. A new study, done by researchers from West Virginia University in
August of 2017, suggested that any amount of exposure to e-cigarette vapor may be enough to damage vascular function. After 5 minutes of exposure to an e-cigarette, the arteries of the group of mice narrowed by 30 percent. After exposing the long-term group of mice to 20 hours of e-cigarette vapor a week for 8 months, the mice showed effects of aortic stiffness and damage to blood vessel function. Aortic stiffness is a complication in the heart’s main artery, that can be an indicator of cardiovascular disease.

**Smokeless Tobacco**

**Overview**

Smokeless tobacco refers to tobacco that is used in ways that do not involve burning the tobacco. Examples of smokeless tobacco include chewing tobacco and snuff. Smokeless tobacco contains over 30 known carcinogens. Examples include the nitrosamines, NNK (4-methylnitrosamino-1-3-pyridyl-1-butanone), and NNN (NL’-nitrosonornicotine). There are approximately 1-5 µg of NNK and NNN present in every gram of smokeless tobacco. Smokeless tobacco users are exposed to 100-1000 times more carcinogenic nitrosamines than nonusers. The carcinogens listed above, along with their metabolites (metabolites are additional chemicals produced when the body processes something that has been taken in), can be detected in saliva and urine samples. Studies suggest that NNK and NNN that have been processed in the body may cause DNA changes in mouth tissues, which can lead to permanent DNA damage. DNA damage to important genes, such as RAS and P53, can result in cancer. Additionally, the metabolism of carcinogens produces reactive chemicals that could lead to chronic inflammation and irritation in the body.

**Chewing tobacco**

Chewing tobacco are loose tobacco leaves that are chewed and spat out. Chewing releases the nicotine present in tobacco, and the nicotine is absorbed through mouth tissues. Chewing tobacco was the most prevalent form of tobacco in the U.S. prior to the cigarette industry expansion in 1918.

**Snuff**

Snuff is found in 2 forms: dry snuff and moist snuff. Dry snuff is a form of powdered tobacco that is inhaled through the nose, while moist snuff, also known as dipping tobacco, is marketed as a discreet way of using tobacco. Moist snuff is placed in the mouth so that the nicotine is absorbed through the mouth tissues. Snuff is the most prevalent form of smokeless tobacco in some countries, including Sweden.
Impacts on Health

Overview

Smoking and smokeless tobacco usage not only impacts the user but can also harm those exposed to tobacco smoke. Studies have shown that tobacco is also detrimental to the health of the children and grandchildren of tobacco users. In 1964, the U.S. Surgeon General’s office released a landmark report on the health effects of tobacco usage. We now know that all forms of tobacco are harmful to the user and, frequently, to those nearby. Tobacco’s negative impact can even extend to unborn future offspring of users.

U.S. Surgeon General Regina Benjamin

Smoking Tobacco

Firsthand Exposure

- **What is it?**
  - Firsthand exposure refers to the tobacco smoker’s exposure to tobacco smoke and its many toxins.

- **Prevalence**
  - In 2012, approximately 18.1% of U.S. adults (at least 18 years old) were cigarette smokers. Among the smokers, 78.4% of them smoked every day.

- **Health problems**
  - There are many health-related issues associated with smoking tobacco, including cancer, brain damage, heart disease, stroke, lung disease, and wrinkles.
    - **Cancer**
      - Smoking tobacco is linked to many types of cancers, including cancer of the mouth, nose, throat, larynx, esophagus, lung, stomach, liver, pancreas, kidney, blood and bone marrow, colon, and intestine.
    - **Heart Disease and Stroke**
      - Cigarette smoking causes coronary heart disease, the leading cause of deaths in the U.S. It has been found that cigarette smokers are 2-4 times more likely to develop coronary heart disease than nonsmokers and are twice as likely to have a stroke.
    - **Brain damage**
Present in all forms of tobacco, the carcinogen NNK has been found to cause brain damage by causing immune cells to attack healthy brain cells.

Wrinkles

Although wrinkles are not a serious health concern, studies have found that smoking is linked to the breakdown of elastin fibers, which are the protein bundles responsible for the skin's ability to stretch and relax. Loss of elastin fibers results in skin wrinkles.

Lung Disease

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a broad term that covers lung diseases including emphysema and chronic bronchitis. COPD refers to serious lung diseases. Patients have less airflow through their airways, making it harder for them to breathe. This condition can occur when the tiny air sacs in the lungs lose their ability to stretch and shrink, when the walls separating the air sacs become damaged or inflamed, or when the airways are obstructed by abnormal amounts of mucus. Smoking is responsible for 9 out of 10 COPD-related deaths. Smoking has also been found to trigger asthma attacks.

Loss of Y Chromosome

Recent studies suggest that male smokers are at a significantly greater risk of losing their Y chromosome. Specifically, male smokers were found to be up to 4 times more likely to have blood cells that have lost the Y chromosome. The Y chromosome is the chromosome that contains sex-determining genes. Previous studies have suggested that loss of Y chromosome may be associated with a shorter lifespan and a greater risk for developing cancer.

Secondhand Exposure

What is it?

Secondhand exposure to smoke is the involuntary or passive exposure of nonsmokers to tobacco smoke. The two types of tobacco smoke relevant to smoke exposure are called mainstream smoke and side stream smoke. Mainstream smoke refers to the smoke that is exhaled by a smoker, while side stream smoke refers to the smoke that comes from the lit end of a cigarette, cigar, pipe, and other tobacco-smoking devices. While all secondhand smoke exposure is regarded as harmful, side stream smoke is considered to be more toxic than mainstream smoke because side stream smoke contains higher concentrations of carcinogens.

When a person is exposed to secondhand smoke, the nicotine in the smoke is changed by the body (metabolized) into cotinine, a nicotine byproduct which can be detected in saliva, urine, and blood samples.

Prevalence

Although secondhand smoke exposure (measured by cotinine levels) has decreased among U.S. nonsmokers and among all racial and ethnic groups, it still remains higher among non-Hispanic black Americans than among non-Hispanic white Americans and Mexican Americans. The percentage of nonsmokers who had measurable levels of cotinine in their system decreased from 87.9% (1988-1991) to 40.1% (2007-2008). It was estimated that approximately 88 million U.S. nonsmokers were exposed to secondhand smoke in 2007-2008.

Health problems

There are many health-related issues associated with secondhand smoke, including cancer, pregnancy problems, heart disease, stroke, and lung problems.

- Cancer
Secondhand smoke exposure has been found to increase a nonsmoker’s risk of developing lung cancer by 20-30\% \(^{113}^{114}\). Ongoing research suggests that secondhand smoke exposure may increase a nonsmoker’s risk of developing breast cancer \(^{112}\). 

- **Birth and Pregnancy**
  - Secondhand smoke exposure has been found to increase the risk of a miscarriage, stillborn birth, and other pregnancy-related problems \(^{112}\).

- **Heart Disease and Stroke**
  - Secondhand smoke exposure has been found to increase a nonsmoking adult’s risk of getting heart disease by 25-30\% and has also been found to increase a nonsmoking adult’s risk for heart attack and stroke \(^{113}^{114}\). Secondhand smoke has also been found to trigger asthma attacks \(^{110}\).

- **Lung Disease**
  - Secondhand smoke exposure is responsible for approximately 7,500 to 15,000 annual hospitalizations of U.S. children aged 18 months and younger and is responsible for approximately 150,000 to 300,000 annual cases of bronchitis and pneumonia in U.S. children aged 18 months and younger \(^{113}^{114}\). Secondhand smoke has also been found to trigger asthma attacks \(^{110}\). 

- **Preventative Measures**
  - Ways to avoid secondhand smoke exposure and to protect from its damaging effects include, but are not limited to, the following: not being exposed to secondhand smoke, preventing indoor smoking, filtering the air, and ventilating buildings \(^{112}\).

Thirdhand Exposure

- **What is it?**
  - Thirdhand exposure to smoke refers to the involuntary or passive absorption, through the skin or ingestion through the mouth, of carcinogenic tobacco residues that may be found on surfaces or mixed with the dust in the air. Thirdhand exposure is most prevalent among babies and children who are more inclined to touch surfaces and subsequently lick their hands. The health effects of thirdhand exposure to smoke are still under study \(^{112}\).

Transgenerational effects

- **What is it?**
  - Tobacco exposure not only affects the health of the smoker but can also affect the health of the smoker’s children, grandchildren, and so on. The toxins and carcinogens present in tobacco smoke can cause unfavorable genetic and epigenetic changes to the smoker’s DNA as well as to the smoker’s descendants’ DNA, which could lead to genomic instability and damaged DNA repair systems. The mechanisms of how this works is still under study \(^{116}\).

  “Epigenetic changes refer to slight chemical modifications that control the "on" or "off" status of a gene. These changes are not the same as mutations, which refer to alterations of the "letters" of the DNA sequence itself. An epigenetic change would be like changing the letter 'a' into the letter 'A'. They are similar, but not the same. A mutation would be like changing the letter 'a' to the letter 'b'. They are very different.

  - Recent studies of epigenetic changes suggest that the amount of methylation (a form of epigenetic change) of the F2RL3 gene may serve as a measure of a person’s lifetime exposure to firsthand tobacco smoke. This means that F2RL3 methylation has the potential to help determine a person’s risk for developing smoking-related cardiovascular diseases \(^{117}\). Other studies have found that the toxins in tobacco smoke can lead to epigenetic changes within reproductive cells (sperm/egg). Specifically, changes in the expression of important microRNAs (miRNAs) in human spermatozoa have been found. Researchers believe that these epigenetic changes are heritable and may affect sperm health and the development of the embryo \(^{118}\). A study using rats suggests that maternal smoking during pregnancy could result in nicotine-induced asthma in children, grandchildren, and subsequent generations \(^{119}^{120}\).
**E-cigarette Poisoning**

- **What is it?**
  - E-cigarette poisoning happens when e-cigarette liquid containing nicotine is ingested, inhaled, or absorbed through the skin or eyes. This can lead to vomiting, nausea, eye irritation, heart problems, and even death. According to a CDC study, there has been an increase in the number of calls received by U.S. poison centers regarding e-cigarette liquids (1 call per month in 2010 to 215 calls per month in 2014). There was no similar increase in the number of calls received regarding conventional cigarettes. Furthermore, approximately 51% of calls regarding e-cigarette poisoning involved children under the age of 5, and approximately 42% of calls regarding e-cigarette poisoning involved people aged 20 and older. Another recent source reports that in 2013, 1,351 calls were received by poison control centers in the U.S. regarding e-cigarette liquids, three times more than the number of calls received in 2012. Furthermore, 365 of those 1,351 calls were referred to hospitals, also three times more than in 2012. Currently, e-cigarette liquids are sold online to the general public by the liter (about one quart) at nicotine concentrations as high as 10%. According to a study conducted at Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, many parents fail to safely store e-cigarette liquids and few parents were aware of the risks associated with unsafe e-liquid storage. 36% of the e-cigarette users surveyed did not lock up e-liquid bottles after use and did not use childproof caps. Also among those surveyed, 3% reported instances where their child attempted to consume the e-liquid. The FDA is currently planning e-cigarette liquid regulations.

**E-cigarette Fires and Explosions**

- While fires and explosions caused by e-cigarettes are rare, they can cause serious injuries and burns when they do occur. Between 2009 and 2014 in the United States, 25 incidents involving e-cigarette explosion and fire were reported. These 25 incidents were associated with 9 injuries and 0 deaths. Most e-cigarette explosions result from the spontaneous ignition and explosion of e-cigarette batteries during e-cigarette use or during e-cigarette battery charging. These explosions can cause internal and external burns to the user's face, neck, throat, lungs, and more. E-cigarette batteries have also spontaneously exploded when it is not in use or charging.

**Smokeless tobacco**

- **Firsthand exposure**
  - **What is it?**
    - Firsthand exposure refers to the user's exposure to the dangerous chemicals found in smokeless tobacco.
  - **Prevalence**
    - It was estimated that in 2012, about 9 million people aged 12 and older living in the U.S. used smokeless tobacco. Smokeless tobacco usage is higher among younger age groups. According to CDC’s 2012 National Youth Tobacco Survey, smokeless tobacco usage is higher among high school-aged people than among young adults between the ages of 18 and 25.
  - **Health problems**
    - There are many health-related issues associated with using smokeless tobacco, some of which include cancer, heart disease, mouth sores (leukoplakia), and dental problems.
      - **Cancer**
        - Smokeless tobacco usage is linked to various types of cancers, such as those of the mouth, tongue, cheek, gum, throat, esophagus, stomach, and pancreas.
      - **Heart disease**
        - Although more studies are needed to identify the role of smokeless tobacco in heart diseases, current data suggests
that smokeless tobacco users are more likely to have heart disease, high blood pressure (hypertension), and heart failure than those who do not use smokeless tobacco.Smokeless tobacco is linked to cavities and tooth decay. Leukoplakia refers to white mouth lesions that can become cancerous. These oral soft tissue abnormalities are most commonly found in smokeless tobacco users, at the site where smokeless tobacco is placed.

**Dental**
- Smokeless tobacco usage is linked to cavities and tooth decay.

**Leukoplakia**
- Leukoplakia refers to white mouth lesions that can become cancerous. These oral soft tissue abnormalities are most commonly found in smokeless tobacco users, at the site where smokeless tobacco is placed.

**Additional statistics**

**Deaths**

- Smoking tobacco is responsible for approximately 1 in every 5 deaths (includes exposure to firsthand and secondhand smoke), which translates to more than 480,000 deaths in the U.S. each year. Men account for approximately 279,000 of those annual deaths, while women account for approximately 202,000 of those annual deaths. As a comparison, there are 525,949 minutes per year, so that works out to nearly one death from smoking every minute.

- From 2005-2009, it was estimated that approximately 42,000 annual deaths among nonsmokers were due to secondhand smoke exposure. Among those 42,000 annual deaths, approximately 7,300 deaths were due to lung cancer, while approximately 34,000 annual deaths were due to heart disease. It is estimated that at least 30% of all cancer deaths are due to tobacco use. It was also estimated that, since 1964, approximately 2.5 million nonsmokers have died due to secondhand smoke exposure.

**Life expectancy**

- According to the World Health Organization, 1 in 2 lifetime smokers will die of a disease associated with tobacco use. According to the Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (CDC), U.S. nonsmokers live at least 10 years longer than U.S. cigarette smokers.
Preventable deaths

- **An estimated 1 out of every 3 cancer deaths in the U.S. could be prevented if no one smoked tobacco.** A smoker’s risk for getting mouth, throat, esophageal, and bladder cancer decreases by half within 5 years of quitting smoking, and a smoker’s risk of dying from lung cancer decreases by half within 10 years of quitting smoking.\(^1\)

Economy

**Economic costs**

- According to the 2014 Surgeon General’s Report, from 2009 to 2012, U.S. lost an estimated $289-332.5 billion each year due to smoking-related economic costs\(^2\).
- Approximately $132.5-175.9 billion of the $289-332.5 billion lost was due to direct medical care for adults between the ages of 35 and 79, approximately $151 billion of the $289-332.5 billion was productivity loss due to premature deaths of adult smokers aged 20 and older (using 2005 to 2009 data), and $5.7 billion of the $289-332.5 billion was productivity loss due to secondhand smoke exposure (using 2006 data).\(^3\)
- Of the $5.7 billion productivity loss due to deaths from secondhand smoke exposure, approximately $4.6 billion was due to deaths from coronary heart disease, while $1.1 billion was due to deaths from lung cancer.\(^4\)
- It is estimated that the health and productivity costs for every pack sold in the U.S. is $18.20 per pack.\(^5\)

**Tax income**

- It is estimated that in 2014 in the U.S., states will generate approximately $25 billion in tobacco revenue from tobacco taxes and the 1998 Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement.
- Only 1.9% of that revenue will be spent towards smoking prevention and cessation programs. As of April 2014, there were no states in the U.S. that met CDC’s “recommended” level for amount of money allocated towards tobacco control programs.\(^6\)

**Economies of different tobacco products**

---


**Cigarettes**

**Sales**
The number of cigarettes sold or given away by manufacturers decreased from 2010 to 2011 by 2.9%\(^{141}\). Still, in 2011, more than 293 billion cigarettes were sold in the U.S. Of those, 135.1 billion were sold by Philip Morris USA (Brand examples: Marlboro, Basic, Virginia Slims), 72.9 billion were sold by Reynolds American Inc. (Brand examples: Camel, Doral, Winston, Kool), 40 billion were sold by Lorillard (Brand examples: Newport, Maverick, Kent), and the remaining 45 billion were sold by several other companies\(^{140}\).

**Advertising**
The amount of money spent on cigarette advertisements and promotions increased from $8.046 billion (2010) to $8.366 billion (2011). Of the $8.366 billion, $7.0 billion was spent on cigarette price discounts\(^{140}\).

**Leaderboard**

Cigarette consumption in 2009\(^{143}\):
1. China (most cigarettes consumed)
2. Russia
3. United States
4. Indonesia
5. Japan

Cigarette production in 2010\(^{144}\):
1. China (produced 41% of world’s cigarettes)
2. Russia (7%)
3. United States (6%)
4. Germany (4%)
5. Indonesia (3%)

Cigarette export in 2010\(^{144}\):
1. Germany (exported 181.11 billion cigarettes)
2. Netherlands (115.35 billion)
3. Poland (89.49 billion)
4. United States (60.45 billion)
5. Indonesia (57.40 billion)

**Cigars**

**Sales**
In 2011, approximately 13.7 billion cigars were sold in the U.S. Of those 13.7 billion cigars sold, 2.9 billion were sold by Swisher International (Brand examples: Swisher Sweets, Universal), 2.5 billion were sold by Cheyenne International, LLC (Brand examples: Cheyenne, Derringer, Bodyshot), 1.59 billion were sold by Altadis USA (Brand examples: Dutch Masters, Phillies), 0.55 billion were sold by Prime Time International, and the remaining 7.11 billion were sold by several other companies\(^{144}\).

**E-cigarettes**

**Sales and Revenue**
Since they first appeared in the consumer market in 2005, e-cigarettes have rapidly grown in popularity. From 2009 to 2012, U.S. e-cigarette sales increased at an annual rate of 115%. Estimates predict that U.S. e-cigarette sales will reach $2 billion in 2014 and global e-cigarette sales will reach $10 billion by 2017\(^{145}\).\(^{96}\).

Over 95% of the world’s e-cigarettes are produced in Shenzhen, China. Speculators believe that the e-cigarette market could see a rapid expansion as more public smoking bans are instituted in China\(^{145}\)

**Advertising**
Exposure to e-cigarette TV ads among adolescents aged 12 to 17 increased by 256% from 2011 to 2013 in the U.S. Exposure to e-cigarette TV ads among young adults aged 18 to 24 increased by 321% from 2011 to 2013 in the U.S.\(^{146}\).

As of January 2014, researchers at the University of California, San Diego, have found over 460 different English-language e-cigarette websites, each marketing its own brand of e-cigarettes. On those English-language e-cigarette websites, researchers discovered almost 7,800 different e-cigarette flavors and estimated that around 10 new e-cigarette brands and 240 new e-cigarette flavors appeared online each month during their study. The researchers also found that approximately 10% of e-cigarette companies
had falsely claimed that e-cigarette products could help smokers quit smoking.°

- Smokeless Tobacco

**Sales and Revenue**
The amount of smokeless tobacco sold by manufacturers to retailers and wholesalers increased from 122.6 million pounds (2010) to 124.6 million pounds (2011). Of those 124.6 million pounds sold in 2011, 56 million pounds were sold by U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Company (Brand examples: Copenhagen, Skoal), 35.3 million pounds were sold by American Snuff (Brand examples: Grizzly, Kodiak), 20.9 million pounds were sold by Swedish Match (Brand examples: Timber Wolf, Red Man), and the remaining 12.4 million pounds were sold by several other companies.°

The following monetary values are presented in nominal values and have not been adjusted for inflation. The revenue generated by manufacturers rose from $2.78 billion in 2010 to $2.94 billion in 2011.°

**Advertising**
The amount of money spent on smokeless tobacco advertisements and promotions increased from $444.2 million (2010) to $451.7 million (2011).°

**Regulations**
The following represents a selected list of U.S. laws and regulations that fall under the jurisdiction of certain U.S. government agencies, with the purpose of governing tobacco sales, advertisements, and usages. Additional tobacco laws and regulations can be found here.

**Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB)**
Relating to tobacco regulation, TTB is responsible for enforcing laws that regulate business engagements in tobacco manufacturing and importing, such as those that deal with federal excise taxes on tobacco products, cigarette papers and tubes, and more. TTB is not responsible for enforcing laws that deal with the age of tobacco buyers, sellers, and users; it is the responsibility of each state’s government to enforce those laws and regulations.°

**Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF)**
Prevent All Cigarette Trafficking Act of 2009 (PACT Act) is an act signed by President Barack Obama on March 31, 2010 designed to prevent tobacco smuggling and to enforce tobacco sales and tax laws.

Comprehensive PACT Act

**Federal Trade Commission**
Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act of 1965 is an act passed by Congress that governs cigarette labeling and advertising. This act requires the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) to annually report to Congress on the current status of cigarette labeling and advertising practices. This act also requires that health-warning labels be present on all cigarette packages.°

**Food and Drug Administration**
Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (Tobacco Control Act) is a tobacco regulation law signed on June 22, 2009 designed to protect public health. Under this law, the FDA has the power to regulate the manufacture, distribution, and marketing of tobacco products.°
Overview of the Tobacco Control Act
Comprehensive Tobacco Control Act
More FDA rules and regulations pertaining to tobacco and tobacco products

U.S. Office of Attorney Generals
In 1998, concluding the largest financial recovery civil lawsuit in U.S. history, the attorney generals of 46 states reached a settlement known as the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement of 1998 (MSA) with four major U.S. cigarette companies (Philip Morris, R.J. Reynolds, Brown & Williamson, and Lorillard). In this settlement, tobacco companies agreed to pay approximately $206 billion through the year 2025 to help compensate for the health care costs and damages brought forth by tobacco usage in the U.S. \(^{142}\) In exchange, each of the 46 states agreed to withdraw their claims that tobacco companies were in violation of state antitrust and consumer protection laws. The MSA also imposed new limits to govern tobacco advertising and marketing and allocated money towards anti-tobacco education, with the intent of better protecting minors \(^{142}\) \(^{152}\)

Comprehensive Master Settlement Agreement

Cessation Resources

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Quitting smoking tips

Tips From Former Smokers campaign

Additional quitting smoking resources

American Cancer Society

Comprehensive guide to quitting smoking

Comprehensive guide to quitting smokeless tobacco

Help for cravings and tough situations

Quit For Life program

General tips for family and friends of smokers who are trying to quit

Additional quitting smoking and quitting smokeless tobacco resources

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Starting and continuing on a smoke-free journey

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

FDA-approved tobacco cessation products

Non-profit Organizations

QuitDay

Alcohol
Alcohol Consumption and its Link to Cancer

Children and young adults who drink alcohol have a higher risk of misusing alcohol when they become adults. The majority of adults at high risk for alcohol abuse started drinking before the age of 21. Drinking alcohol moderately or heavily over long periods of time are risk factors for cancer.

The link between alcohol consumption and risk of developing cancer is a dose-response relationship: if developing a specific type of cancer is associated with drinking alcohol, then the more one consumes alcohol, the higher the risk for cancer. Alcohol consumption is linked to cancers of the mouth, throat, esophagus (the tube running down from your throat to your stomach), liver, breast, colon and rectum. This link has been observed across different types of alcoholic beverages- wine, beer, spirits and hard liquor.

Light drinkers are at risk for developing mouth and esophageal cancers, with the highest risk for esophageal cancer. Moderate drinkers are at risk for mouth, throat and esophageal cancers, and just like light drinkers, their highest risk is for esophageal cancer. Heavy drinkers are at risk for the same cancers as moderate drinkers, but their greatest risk is for mouth cancer.

How Does Alcohol Cause Cancer?

Alcohol on its own isn’t a carcinogen (a substance known to cause cancer). When we consume alcohol, it is broken down and converted into a form that can be eliminated from the body. This form is a chemical called acetaldehyde, which is a carcinogen that can stick to our DNA and cause harmful changes (mutations) in our genes. In animal experiments, mice and rats which drank water with added alcohol or acetaldehyde developed...
tumors. Consuming alcohol also cause inflammation.

In cells, alcohol is broken down through a series of steps. One protein involved in this process is called aldehyde dehydrogenase-2 (ALDH2). As stated before, alcohol is converted to acetaldehyde. ALDH2 quickly eliminates any acetaldehyde produced from the breakdown of alcohol. However, some people have an inactive form of the ALDH2 protein. When these people drink alcohol, their bodies cannot get rid of the acetaldehyde and as a result, it builds up. This increases its toxic and mutation-causing capabilities. People from eastern Asia are most likely to have the inactive form of ALDH2 and are more vulnerable to developing alcohol-induced cancer.

**What happens when someone stops drinking alcohol?**

Compared to those who continue to drink alcohol, people who quit drinking lower their risk of developing cancers of the respiratory and digestive systems. After 20 years of not drinking, former drinkers can even reduce their risk for cancer to the level of risk seen in those who never consumed alcohol.

**What if someone is already diagnosed with cancer, but continues to drink alcohol? What about cancer survivors who drink alcohol?**

Between one-in-three and one-in-two patients who have been diagnosed with a cancer of the respiratory/digestive systems continue to drink alcohol. A study involving nearly 210,000 cancer survivors found that high alcohol consumers had an 8% greater chance of death and 17% greater risk for cancer recurrence compared to those who rarely or never drank alcohol.

People who consume alcohol after a diagnosis of respiratory/digestive cancer are at increased risk of developing additional cancers. Stopping alcohol consumption can reduce this risk.

Women who have estrogen receptor positive breast cancer who consume more than 7 drinks per week have a 90% greater risk of developing an additional breast cancer compared to non-drinkers. Patients with breast cancer who drink more than 7 drinks per week also develop other new cancers, including colorectal cancer. Moderate or heavy levels of drinking also increases the risk of breast cancer recurrence and death.

Abusing alcohol and excessive drinking can reduce the success of cancer treatments and can complicate the patient’s response to treatment may be further complicated by nutritional deficiencies, lowered immunity and heart problems that can arise due to alcohol abuse.